

LGE2021 CEO SURVEY

Q1. Please provide name of Local Government

Answered	12
Skipped	0

Q2. For the areas of the legislation for which you are responsible do you believe you and your staff are adequately trained ?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	100.0%	12
No	0.0%	0
	Answered	12
	Skipped	0

Q3. What areas would benefit from additional training ?

Answered	6
Skipped	6

Q4. Are there any aspects of the election timeline that require amendment ?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	12
	Answered	12
	Skipped	0

Q5. If yes, then please provide details

Answered	1
Skipped	11

Q6. How satisfied were you with the Returning Officer's performance in the following areas?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Communication and liaison	0	1	0	6	5	0	12	4.25
Reliability	0	1	1	5	5	0	12	4.17
Availability	0	1	0	6	5	0	12	4.25
Professionalism	0	1	0	6	5	0	12	4.25
Overall performance	0	2	0	4	6	0	12	4.17
							Answered	12
							Skipped	0

Q7. What could the Returning Officer have done to improve their performance ?

Answered	9
Skipped	3

Q8. How satisfied were you with the election cost estimate in relation to the following indicators ?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Election cost estimate was provided in a timely manner	0	0	1	5	6	0	12	4.42
Election cost estimate was reasonable accurate	0	0	2	5	5	0	12	4.25
Sufficient information was provided in the election cost estimate	0	0	1	6	5	0	12	4.33
							Answered	12
							Skipped	0

Q9. How satisfied were you with the election actual cost in relation to the following indicators ?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Election actual cost was reasonable for the services provided	0	0	4	7	1	0	12	3.75
Sufficient explanation of how the election actual cost was calculated	0	0	2	9	1	0	12	3.92
Election actual cost met expectations	0	0	3	8	1	0	12	3.83
							Answered	12
							Skipped	0

Q10. Do you have any comments on the election cost estimate or election actual cost ?

Answered	5
Skipped	7

Q11. How satisfied were you in relation to the following aspects of the Commission's performance?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Service received	0	1	2	5	4	0	12	4
Timelines	0	1	2	4	4	0	11	4
Accuracy of Information provided	0	1	2	5	4	0	12	4
Level of Accountability	1	0	2	4	5	0	12	4
Professionalism	0	1	1	4	6	0	12	4.25
Commission staff election arrangement visit	0	0	0	5	6	0	11	4.55
Commission attendance at Group Candidate Briefing Sessions	1	0	0	3	6	2	12	4.3
Overall performance of the Commission	1	0	1	5	4	0	11	4
							Answered	12
							Skipped	0

Q12. What could be done to improve the service provided by the Commission?

Answered	8
Skipped	4

Q13. How satisfied were you with the following aspects of the Commission's website

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Level of information	0	0	1	5	6	0	12	4.42
Information was accurate	0	0	1	5	6	0	12	4.42
Information updated in a timely manner	0	0	1	5	6	0	12	4.42
							Answered	12
							Skipped	0

Q14. What could be done to improve the Commission's website?

Answered	4
Skipped	8

Q15. How satisfied were you in regards to production of election notices?

Answer Choices	1 Very Dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very Satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
The notices were forwarded in accordance with the requirements of the Local Government Act	0	1	1	3	6	1	12	4.27
Information was accurate	0	0	3	4	4	1	12	4.09
							Answered	12
							Skipped	0

Timeliness of the count on election night	1	0	0	5	5	1	12	4.18
Accuracy of the results determination process	0	0	2	3	6	1	12	4.36
Accessibility of the results after counting was finalised	0	0	0	5	6	1	12	4.55
						Answered	12	
						Skipped	0	

Q22. What could be done to improve the determination or reporting of results?

Answered	6
Skipped	6

Q23. Do you think the Western Australian Electoral Commission conducted the election impartially?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	100.0%	12
No	0.0%	0
Answered		12
Skipped		0

Q24. If no, why do you think this?

Answered	1
Skipped	11

Q25. Please provide any additional comments or suggestions for improvement

Answered	3
Skipped	9

LGE2021 RO Survey

Q1. Did you have any technical problems with either Nomination Builder, EMSWA LG portal, MsTeams (video conferencing) or CountWA over the course of the election?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	15.38%	8
No	84.62%	44
	Answered	52
	Skipped	0

Q2. If Yes, what was the nature of the problem you experienced?

Answered	10
Skipped	42

Q3. Over the course of the election did you contact the Commission's IT Help Desk for technical support?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	61.54%	32
No	38.46%	20
	Answered	52
	Skipped	0

Q4. How satisfied were you with IT Help Desk's performance in the following areas?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Communication and liaison	0	0	0	10	25	12	47	4.71
Responsiveness	0	0	1	9	25	12	47	4.69
Availability	0	1	2	8	24	12	47	4.57
Ability to resolve the problem	0	1	3	6	24	13	47	4.56
Professionalism	0	0	0	8	27	12	47	4.77
Overall performance	0	0	0	8	27	12	47	4.77
						Answered	47	
						Skipped	5	

Q5. What could IT Help Desk have done to improve their performance?

Answered	17
Skipped	35

Q6. How satisfied were you with the training videos, did they provide enough information on the following activities?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Nomination Builder	0	0	4	10	38	0	52	4.65
Accepting a Nomination	0	1	3	8	40	0	52	4.67

Setting ballot paper order	0	0	5	7	38	2	52	4.66
Issuing a replacement package	0	2	5	8	30	7	52	4.47
Processing returned election packages	0	1	7	11	28	5	52	4.4
Election day	0	0	8	11	28	5	52	4.43
CountWA set-up and system test	0	0	2	8	25	16	51	4.66
CountWA operations	0	0	3	7	25	16	51	4.63
Results	0	1	5	11	33	2	52	4.52
Return of materials	1	0	7	15	28	1	52	4.35

Answered 52
Skipped 0

Q7. How satisfied were you in the way in which the following activities were covered at the Commission's one day training session that enabled you to undertake your Returning Officer duties?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Management introduction	0	2	2	11	35	2	52	4.58
COVID requirements	0	1	4	12	35	0	52	4.56
Training introduction (includes timeline, complaints, Act changes, ROLOs)	0	2	2	11	37	0	52	4.6
Using Nomination Builder	0	1	3	6	42	0	52	4.71
Process a nomination for a candidate through EMSWA LG portal	0	1	2	6	43	0	52	4.75
Close of nominations	0	1	3	5	43	0	52	4.73
Draw for ballot paper position	0	1	3	6	42	0	52	4.71
Instructing staff to issue a replacement, provisional or absent election package	0	2	5	14	29	2	52	4.4
Processing voting packages on Election Day	0	1	5	13	29	4	52	4.46
Conducting a Manual Count	0	1	3	7	29	12	52	4.6
Entering election results through EMSWA LG portal	0	1	3	16	32	0	52	4.52

Answered 52
Skipped 0

Q8. What could be done to improve how particular activities are covered in the training session ?

Answered 29
Skipped 23

Q9. How satisfied were you with the amount of time spent on the following Commission election systems during the training period?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Unboxing of RO computer and printer	0	1	5	7	37	2	52	4.6
MsTeams	0	3	5	11	23	10	52	4.29
Nomination Builder	0	1	3	8	40	0	52	4.67
EMSWA LG Portal	0	1	4	9	38	0	52	4.62
CountWA	0	0	6	7	29	9	51	4.55

Answered 52
Skipped 0

Q10. How useful overall were the following training components in enabling you to perform your

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
One day training session	0	2	5	12	32	1	52	4.45
Manuals and guides	0	2	5	12	33	0	52	4.46
RO communications	0	2	3	5	42	0	52	4.67
EMSWA LG training portal	0	0	3	14	35	0	52	4.62
Training videos	0	1	6	14	30	0	51	4.43
							Answered	52
							Skipped	0

Q11. Would you like to comment on suggested improvement in the above areas.

Answered	21
Skipped	31

Q12. How satisfied were you that the information and activities provided at the Returning Officer training workshop was sufficient for you to manage all aspects of the election as the Returning Officer?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
yes	82.69%	43
no	3.85%	2
Other (please specify)	13.46%	7
	Answered	52
	Skipped	0

Q13. How satisfied were you in regards to the following aspects of the Commission's website?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Level of information	0	0	4	13	34	1	52	4.59
Information was accurate	0	0	4	10	35	3	52	4.63
Information was updated in a timely manner	0	0	5	9	35	3	52	4.61
							Answered	52
							Skipped	0

Q14. What could be done to improve the Commission's website?

Answered	13
Skipped	39

Q15. Did you receive the electronic file copy of the residents roll in time for the opening of nominations ?

Answer Choices

	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	92.31%	48
No	7.69%	4
Answered		52
Skipped		0

Q16. Did you have any issues in copying the roll to the USBs?

Answer Choices

	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	1.96%	1
No	98.04%	50
Answered		51
Skipped		1

Q17. If yes, what were the issues?

Answered	2
Skipped	50

Q18. Did you receive any feedback from candidates regarding the roll data on the USB?

Answer Choices

	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	9.62%	5
No	90.38%	47
Answered		52
Skipped		0

Q19. If yes, what in particular did they say?

Answered	6
Skipped	46

Q20. Were you required to assist the local government with questions about eligibility for the owner and occupier roll?

Answer Choices

	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	40.38%	21
No	59.62%	31
Other (please specify)		3
Answered		52
Skipped		0

Q21. Did you receive a copy of the owners and occupiers roll from the local government?

Answer Choices

	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	81.63%	40
No	18.37%	9
Other (please specify)		7

Answered	49
Skipped	3

Q22. In what format was the owners and occupiers roll provided to you by the local government?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Hard copy (paper format)	2.7%	1
Soft copy (email file attachment)	54.05%	20
Soft copy (USB thumb drive)	43.24%	16
Other (please specify)		2
	Answered	37
	Skipped	15

Q23. In relation to the format that the owners and occupiers roll was provided to you, was it suitable for your purposes?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	89.74%	35
Somewhat	7.69%	3
No	0.0%	0
N/A	2.56%	1
	Answered	39
	Skipped	13

Q24. With the exception of those electors who have their "address omitted under regulation 13(2)", was the owners and occupiers roll prepared and provided in accordance with the Act and Regulations and did it contain the information detailed below?

Answer Choices	Yes	No	Total
Family name	35	0	35
Other name	35	0	35
Postal address	35	0	35
Details/Rateableproperty	33	2	35
Classification of elector	29	3	32
		Answered	35
		Skipped	17

Q25. In the version provided to you, did it contain details it shouldn't have (i.e. DOB)?

What could the local government have done to improve the production and supply of the owners & occupiers roll?

Answered	26
Skipped	26

Q26. How satisfied were you in regards to the following aspects of Nomination Builder?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
It was easy for candidates to locate the Nomination Builder on the Commission's website	3	1	2	10	32	0	48	4.4
Nomination Builder was straightforward and easy to use	0	0	1	7	40	0	48	4.81

Uploading and formatting of photographs was straightforward	0	1	1	9	37	0	48	4.71
It was easy to create and edit candidate profiles	0	1	1	8	38	0	48	4.73
Nomination Builder saved you time when dealing with the candidate	0	0	0	4	43	0	47	4.91
							Answered	48
							Skipped	4
Q27. What could be done to improve Nomination Builder								
Answered	18							
Skipped	34							
Q28. How satisfied were you with the following aspects of the EMSWA LG portal?								
Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Manual/instructions were concise and clear	0	0	5	11	32	0	48	4.56
Navigation between screens was straightforward	0	2	0	12	34	0	48	4.63
It was easy to add/accept candidate nominations	0	0	0	9	39	0	48	4.81
Entering candidate ballot paper order was straightforward and easy to use	0	0	1	7	35	4	47	4.79
Issuing a replacement voting package was straightforward	0	1	1	9	26	11	48	4.62
Issuing a provisional ballot was straightforward	0	3	2	5	20	18	48	4.4
LG staff found EMSWA LG portal straightforward and easy to use	0	0	2	14	26	6	48	4.57
Entering results into EMSWA LG portal was straightforward and easy to use	0	0	0	11	33	4	48	4.75
Printing the LG19A/B PDF results from the EMSWA LG portal was straightforward	0	0	2	11	32	3	48	4.67
							Answered	48
							Skipped	4
Q29. What could be done to improve EMSWA LG portal?								
Answered	16							
Skipped	36							
Q30. Did you undertake a Manual Count?								
Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses						
Yes	48.94%	23						
No	51.06%	24						
	Answered	47						
	Skipped	5						
Q31. How satisfied were you with the following aspects of the Manual Count process?								
Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average

Easy to set up a manual count	0	0	0	1	23	18	42	4.96
Straightforward to determine informal ballots	0	0	0	1	23	18	42	4.96
Straightforward to calculate results	0	0	0	2	22	18	42	4.92
Location and amount of space available to conduct the count	0	0	0	2	22	18	42	4.92
							Answered	42
							Skipped	10
Q32. What could be done to improve the Manual Count?								
Answered	9							
Skipped	43							
Q33. Did you use CountWA to conduct any of your counts?								
Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses						
Yes	55.32%	26						
No	44.68%	21						
	Answered	47						
	Skipped	5						
Q34. How satisfied were you with the following aspects of CountWA?								
Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Navigation between screens was straightforward	0	0	1	9	17	18	45	4.59
Easy to set up CountWA	0	0	1	8	18	18	45	4.63
Easy to test the system using the Wi Fi hub	0	0	2	6	17	20	45	4.6
Straightforward to allocate usernames and passwords	0	0	0	6	20	19	45	4.77
Straightforward to enter the ballot papers	0	0	1	6	20	18	45	4.7
Straightforward to calculate results	0	0	0	5	22	18	45	4.81
Straightforward to produce a results report	0	0	0	6	21	18	45	4.78
Straightforward to backup CountWA database	0	0	0	5	22	18	45	4.81
RO CountWA manual and set-up guide was easy to follow	0	0	1	6	19	17	43	4.69
							Answered	45
							Skipped	7
Q35. Did CountWA training help you prepare for the CountWA count?								
Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses						
Yes	89.19%	33						
No	10.81%	4						
	Answered	37						
	Skipped	15						
Q36. How would you like the CountWA training to be conducted in future elections?								
Answered	21							
Skipped	31							

Q37. What could be done to improve CountWA?

Answered 15
 Skipped 37

Q38. How satisfied were you with the following aspects of the results reporting process?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Notification of the mini-count results (if applicable) received in a timely manner	0	1	2	5	14	26	48	4.45
Able to input all the results into EMSWA LG portal on election night	0	1	0	8	31	8	48	4.72
ROLOs confirmed verification of results in a timely manner	0	2	2	9	29	6	48	4.55
							Answered	48
							Skipped	4

Q39. What improvements could be made to the results reporting process?

Answered 23
 Skipped 29

Q40. How satisfied were you with the Local Government staff that you liaised with in regards to their overall performance in the following areas?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Communication and liaison	0	0	0	3	44	0	47	4.94
Responsiveness	0	0	0	3	44	0	47	4.94
Availability	0	1	0	4	41	0	46	4.85
Knowledge/expertise	0	1	0	8	38	0	47	4.77
Overall professionalism	0	0	0	3	44	0	47	4.94
							Answered	47
							Skipped	5

Q41. What could the Local Government staff have done to improve their performance?

Answered 27
 Skipped 25

Q42. What could the Commission have done to help Local Government staff improve their performance?

Answered 25
 Skipped 27

Q43. How satisfied were you with the RO Liaison Officer's overall performance in the following areas?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Communication and liaison	0	1	0	1	46	0	48	4.92
Responsiveness	0	0	1	1	46	0	48	4.94
Availability	0	0	1	1	46	0	48	4.94
Knowledge/expertise	1	0	0	2	45	0	48	4.88
Overall professionalism	0	0	1	0	45	0	46	4.96
							Answered	48
							Skipped	4

Q44. What could the RO Liaison Officer have done to improve their performance?

Answered	26
Skipped	26

Q45. How satisfied were you with the Commission's overall performance in the following areas?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Communication and liaison	0	0	3	6	38	1	48	4.74
Responsiveness	0	1	1	9	35	2	48	4.7
Availability	0	0	2	5	39	2	48	4.8
Quality of work	0	0	1	7	39	1	48	4.81
Overall professionalism	0	1	2	6	38	1	48	4.72
							Answered	48
							Skipped	4

Q46. What could the Commission have done to improve its performance?

Answered	25
Skipped	27

Q47. Where was your local government located?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Metropolitan	37.5%	18
Non-metropolitan	62.5%	30
	Answered	48
	Skipped	4

Q48. What is your local government elections Returning Officer experience?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
This was my first local government election as a Returning Officer	31.25%	15

I have worked as Returning Officer for previous local government elections

68.75%

33

Answered

48

Skipped

4

LGE2021 Candidate Survey

Q1. Over the course of the election did you access the Commission's website?

Answer Choices	Response	
	Percent	Responses
Yes	90.24%	37
No	9.76%	4
	Answered	41
	Skipped	0

Q2. How satisfied were you in regards to the following aspects of the Commission's website?

Answer Choices	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
	Very dissatisfied				Very satisfied			
Level of information provided	0	5	6	8	14	1	34	3.94
Information was accurate	1	2	3	11	14	2	33	4.13
Information was updated in a timely manner	1	3	4	11	13	1	33	4
Website was easy to navigate	2	4	6	13	8	1	34	3.64
							Answered	34
							Skipped	7

Q3. What could be done to improve the Commission's website?

Answered	12
Skipped	29

Q4. Did you receive a Candidate's Pack (includes local government election forms, guides) on a USB, via the Commission's website or other means?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Returning Officer provided a Candidate Pack on USB	78.38%	29
Located information on Commission's website	5.41%	2
Returning Officer emailed information to me	10.81%	4
Did not receive a Candidate Pack (please comment if one was offered or not)	0.00%	0
Returning Officer emailed the information to me	0.00%	0
No (please specify if you were offered a Candidate Pack by Returning Officer)	5.41%	2
	Answered	37
	Skipped	4

Q5. How satisfied were you with the following aspects of the Candidate's Pack.

Answer Choices	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
	Very dissatisfied				Very satisfied			
Easy to identify the information you required	0	4	6	8	17	2	37	4.09
Information provided was useful	0	4	6	7	17	2	36	4.09
Information was easy to access	0	3	6	9	16	2	36	4.12

Answered 37
 Skipped 4

Q6. What could be done to improve the Candidate's Pack USB?

Answered 14
 Skipped 27

Q7. Did you use the Commission's online Nomination Builder?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	91.67%	33
No	0.00%	0
No other reason, please comment	0.00%	0
Returning Officer used it on my behalf	0.00%	0
No other reason, please provide details below	0.00%	0
No (if so please specify the reason you did not use it)	8.33%	3
Answered		36
Skipped		5

Q8. How satisfied were you with the following aspects of Nomination Builder?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Easy to locate Nomination Builder on the Commission's website	0	3	2	9	18	2	34	4.31
Nomination Builder was straightforward and easy to use	0	1	2	11	19	2	35	4.45
Uploading of your photograph was straightforward	0	2	3	7	22	1	35	4.44
It was easy to create and edit your profile	0	2	5	6	21	1	35	4.35
The profile's 800 character count was straightforward	3	2	6	5	18	1	35	3.97
Nomination Builder made it easy for you to nominate	0	2	3	8	21	1	35	4.41
							Answered	35
							Skipped	6

Q9. What could be done to improve the Nomination Builder?

Answered 14
 Skipped 27

Q10. Do you have any comments in terms of the limit of 800 characters for the candidate profile?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
No	57.14%	20
Yes, please specify	42.86%	15
Answered		35
Skipped		6

Q11. How satisfied were you in regards to the following aspects of the election count and results?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Timeliness of the count on election night	3	1	5	9	18	0	36	4.06
Accuracy of the results determination process	2	1	4	10	19	0	36	4.19
Accessibility of the results after counting was finalised	2	1	3	10	18	2	36	4.21
							Answered	36
							Skipped	5

Q12. What could be done to improve the results determination or reporting process?

Answered	11
Skipped	30

Q13. How satisfied were you with the Returning Officer's performance in the following areas?

Answer Choices	1 Very dissatisfied	2	3	4	5 Very satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Communication and liaison	1	3	3	5	23	0	35	4.31
Reliability	1	1	3	6	24	0	35	4.46
Availability	1	0	5	8	20	1	35	4.35
Processing of your nomination	0	0	1	7	27	0	35	4.74
Conduct of the count	3	0	2	4	24	2	35	4.39
Professionalism	1	2	3	5	23	1	35	4.38
Overall performance	3	0	3	7	22	0	35	4.29
							Answered	35
							Skipped	6

Q14. What could the Returning Officer have done to improve their performance?

Answered	12
Skipped	29

Q15. Do you think the Returning Officer conducted the election impartially

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	93.55%	29
No	6.45%	2
Other (please specify)		4
	Answered	31
	Skipped	10

Q16. Do you think the Electoral Commission conducted the election impartially?

Answer Choices	Response Percent	Responses
Yes	84.85%	28
No	15.15%	5
Other (please specify)		4
	Answered	33
	Skipped	8

Q17. Additional comments (optional)		
Answered	9	
Skipped	32	

Q18. What is your gender ?	Response Percent	Responses
Answer Choices		
Male	74.29%	26
Female	25.71%	9
Other (please specify)		0
	Answered	35
	Skipped	6

Q19. What is your age?	Response Percent	Responses
Answer Choices		
Under 18	0.00%	0
18-24	2.86%	1
25-34	5.71%	2
35-44	11.43%	4
45-54	11.43%	4
55-64	48.57%	17
65+	20.00%	7
	Answered	35
	Skipped	6

Q20. In which local government did you nominate?	Response Percent	Responses
Answer Choices		
Metropolitan local government	60.00%	21
Non-metropolitan local government	40.00%	14
	Answered	35
	Skipped	6

Q21. For which local government did you nominate as a candidate?

Answered

29

Skipped

12